COMQUEST ACADEMY

207 N. PEACH · Tomball, Texas 77375 · 281-516-0611 · Fax 281-290-6524 comquest_academy@sbcglobal.net

- I. Accountability in education can foster its goals.
- II. The Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills is an evolving approach to assessment.
- III. Public assess to banks of all potential questions on the Texas Assessment deserves thoughtful consideration and due deliberation.
- IV. A central purpose of the Texas Assessments program is to encourage, measure, and certify educational success.
- V. Availability of the pools of items drawn upon by the tests might aid teachers; concealment serves no socially useful purpose.
- VI. It seems more realistic to assess the efficacy of schools by the changes they produce in the test scores of their students over an academic year rather than by the percentiles from a single testing only near the end of that year.
- VII. The Principle of the Widow's Mite is that an offering is measured more by the sacrifice entailed rather than by the amount.
- VIII. We all look forward to future developments and improvements in the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills.

Accountability in education can foster its goals. Accountability can guide and improve schools, teachers, and students. We Americans face increasing challenges in a global economy. Our educational system will be an important determinant of our success in clearing those hurdles. So assessment and accountability now assume a greater importance.

The Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills is an evolving approach to assessment. For example, the annual tests administered to students include provisional items that are presented solely to evaluate those items. Such questions contribute to the scoring only after they themselves have been tested and found suitable. The Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills is continually revised and improved in its content. Improvements in other aspects of the testing seem possible.

Public assess to banks of all potential questions on the Texas Assessment deserves thoughtful consideration and due deliberation. Such openness might give better direction and guidance to schools, teachers and students. The Texas Assessments likely comprise relatively small samples from larger pools. Such an approach to test construction seems consistent with both accurate assessment and with direct accountability to the public for the content of the Texas Assessments.

A central purpose of the Texas Assessments program is to encourage, measure, and certify educational success. Accordingly, precautions against misinterpretation or misuse of scores merit attention. Reliance on a single year's percentile scores may obscure improvements in absolute scores from year to year. For example, each year five percent of students will score in the lowest five percent of students. Yet some of these students may have actually improved in absolute scores. Interpretations of test scores that reflect gains or loses within an academic year seem fair and feasible. Gains or losses provide indications of what a school actually taught; final scores alone may reflect largely what students a school enrolled.

Availability of the complete pools of items drawn upon by the tests might aid teachers; concealment serves no socially useful purpose. Teachers would be guided better by their analyses of the emphasized topics relative to their daily observations of the skills and weaknesses of their students. The currently released tests somewhat facilitate such tailoring of instruction and tutoring. Continuing further in the same direction toward complete release of all potential questions to the public may be a desirable evolution of the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills. If a teacher could lead students to master all the questions and answers from a large pool of potential items, the central purpose of the Texas Assessment likely will be achieved in the process. So concealment of the large pool of potential questions serves no socially useful purpose.

It seems more realistic to assess the efficacy of schools by the changes they produce in the test scores of their students over an academic year rather than by the percentiles from a single testing only near the end of that year. For example, a school whose students are mostly from middle or upper class families might show only slight improvement in the test scores of their students from September to May. In contrast, a second school whose students are mostly from working class or less advantaged families may achieve a greater improvement in test scores from September to May even though its May scores are surpassed by the May scores of the more advantaged school. In such circumstances, the second school with lower May scores is more efficient than the first school with higher May scores.

The Principle of the Widow's Mite is that an offering is measured more by the sacrifice entailed rather than by the amount. A drachma from the rich man may be assessed fairly at less than the mite from the widow. Similarly, a substantial improvement from September to May by a disadvantaged student with a unimpressive but passing final score represents more accomplishment by his school than smaller gains by advantaged students at stellar schools with outstanding final scores. A school should not be penalized because its mission includes the rescue of Lost Sheep.

We all look forward to future developments and improvements in the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills. In particular, closer consideration of the Principle of the Widow's Mite and the Rescue of Lost Sheep may be needed to replace Alternative Accountability with Appropriate Accountability. I thank you for your thoughtful attention.

		23	22	1	2	Ņ	3	19			I	I				ā	Ŕ	-	17	ā	à	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	į	5	4		đ		12			
									C incie															Ť.,		-		1			7	×	-	STUDENT NAME	
		7	3		4	c	ĥ										4	ď	00	c	n						ω	C		O		3	COMQUEST	APPROX. # OF SEMESTERS AT	
		2115	2446		2115	5277	2220										1989	1000	2059	7000	2023						2059	,	,	2183		2210		RADE 9 EADING	
		2158	2328		2146	1.33	3347	2320								1	2125	1	2082	1000	2090						A	V 101	2	2105		2105		GR 10	
1	2341	2099	2301		2116	7777	2222								2157		2085										2233			2126		2170		EXIT	ELA
	243	-59	-27		-30	,	ח								72		40													23		65	SCA	REASE IN LE SCORE	
	115	2.7	_1.2		-1.4	i	3								3.5		-1.9													1.0		3.0	PERC	ENTAGE OF ROWTH	
		2141	2258		1924	101.0	2075										2000		1876		1977						1980		10//	20/3	2075	2159		GR 9	
		2007	2171		1934			2054									1948		1935	!	2078						1982	3.0	1073	2133	2	2074		GR 10	
		2119	2314			!!!	2118	2162			2108		2066	2066	1996		1984						2025		2002		2030			4777	33	2265		EXIT	MATH
		112	143					108			42		٥	70	12		36						23		-28		48			9	2	191	SCA	CREASE IN ALE SCORE	
		5.6	6.6					5.3			2.0		0.0	3.5	0.6		1.8						- 1 - 1		-3.4		2.4			3	ا د	9.2	PERC	ENTAGE O	F
		1994	2101		1954			691.7									1957		1829		1942								1928	6022	2200	2046		GR 10	
		2107	2277		2009		2127	2122	3	2068	2085		1987	1925	2056		1906								2100		2021			2000	3220	7012		EXIT	SCIE
		113	176		55			43	;	-17	98		62	-131	150		-51								79						131	9		CREASE IN ALE SCORE	NCE
		5.7	8.4		2.8			7.0		-0.8	4.9		3.2	-6.4	7.9	2.42.4	-2.6								3.9						ה ה	3.0		CENTAGE O	F
		2122	2400		1877			2409	200								1996		2035		2151						Α		2060	200	2270	27.17		GR 10	
		2150	2400		Þ		2130	1.17	2						2151		2068										2243			1	2318	2022		EXIT	SOCIAL
		28	-	,				1	2								72														48	S		CREASE IN ALE SCORE	ST
		1.3	0.0		0.0				3								3.6														2.1	2.0		CENTAGE C GROWTH	

COMQUEST ACADEMY INDIVIDUAL STUDENT GROWTH BASED ON TAKS RESULTS

		4	$oxed{\Box}$		10			ဖွ	8	Γ,	7		6		ς,	4			3	2								I	_]
												-ele			į,			A											•		STUDENT NAME	*
		4			4			2	3		4		4		4	2			_,	2									7		APPROX. # OF SEMESTERS AT COMQUEST	
2100		1930			2241			1898	2343		2319		2313		2210	2574								,					2100		GRADE 9 READING	
	1		2191		2170			2037	2343		2261				2115	2343													2116		GR 10	
								2024			2485				2136												2192		2045		EXIT	ELA
170			21					-13			224				21												147		-71		INCREASE IN SCALE SCORE	
8.8			1.0					-0.6			9,9				1.0											100 CA	7.2		-3.4	4.45	PERCENTAGE 0 GROWTH	F
1950		1907			2100				2303		2123		2282		1834	2216													1980		GR 9	
			2285		2074				2205		2212				1946	2205				2004									2008		GR 10	
											2401		2100		2100	2294	2100		2027	2294									2100		EXIT	MATH
43			211								189				154	89	73			290									92		INCREASE IN SCALE SCORE	
2.3			10.2			North Control		(A) (A)			8.5				7.9	4.0	3.6			14.5			100						4.6		PERCENTAGE C GROWTH	F
			2124		2047				2258		2246				2145	2138	Ī												2046		GR 10	
											2351		2302		2117	2302	2127		2068		Ī	2117	2068	2035	1963		2087		1996		EXIT	SCIE
			77								105				-28	164	59					49	33	72	-124		91		-50		INCREASE IN SCALE SCORE	ΙZ
			3.8								4.7				-1.3	7.7	2.9					2.4	1.6	3.7	-5.9		4.6		-2.4		PERCENTAGE O)F
			2263		2291				242	3	2182				2020	 2469					T								2060		GR 10	
											2285		2363		2150	2486	ľ										2286		2067		EXIT	SOCIAL
			-28	3							163			T	130	=	T			1		Ī					219		7		INCREASE IN SCALE SCORE	<u> </u>
			-1-2	3					4 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		4.	1			6.4	 - -								The second secon			10.6		0.3		PERCENTAGE (GROWTH	

	\prod	Į	Ţ	1	23		32	Ω	30						Ι		29			28		27		26			25		24			
																														STUDENT NAME		
					4		ယ	6	1								8			4		3		3			4		8	APPROX. # OF SEMESTERS AT COMQUEST		
								2229									2114		2262	2077			2030	1933		2167	2150		2343			
				1	2157		2243	2082									2107			2127		2061							2204	GR 10		
					2222		2450										2158					2116								EXIT		ELA
					65		207										51					55								INCREASE II SCALE SCOP		
					3.0		9.2										2.4	5 3 3 4 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8				2.7			Y Y				25 min	PERCENTAG OF GROWT		
								2100									1783		2216	2007			1882	۵		2000	1800		1876	1		
					2138		2054	2138									1923			A	1973	1994							2078	• GR 10		
					2058		2108		2028		10.0	2046	1991	2000	2000	1960	1924													EXIT		MATH
					-80		54				;	55	-75	i	100	36	1				-21									INCREASE I SCALE SCOR		
					-3.9	*84****	2.6					2.8	-3.6	2.7		6.1	0.1				1.1.1									PERCENTAG OF GROWT		
					2031		2209	1980									1944			1928	2031	2115							2056	GR 10		
					2106		2172		2051					2112	3140	2045	1984													EXIT		SCIE
					75		-37							5	2	<u>6</u> 1	40													INCREASE I SCALE SCO	1	ENCE
			in in the second												ת ג	3.1	2.1						1				Ý	\$ 1 m		PERCENTAC OF GROWT		
					2085		2339	1957						T			2235			1930	2100	2025							2283	GR 10		
					2140		2407										2280													EXIT		SOCIAL
					55		68										45													INCREASE SCALE SCO		LSTUDIES
					2.6		2.9						3,				2.0													PERCENTA OF GROWT		ES

Home 281.351.0724 Work 281.516.0611 Fax 281.290.6524

Tanis Stanfield

Experience

1999-Present ComQuest Academy Tomball, TX

Superintendent/Director

- Endeavor to meet state determined acceptable accountability requirements with 75+% "At Risk" Student Population
- ■Implement Workforce Development Program in Charter HS
- •Wrote approved proposal for establishment of Charter High School, followed by approved amendment requests for grades Early Pre-K age 3 to grade 8.
- Established a program for Teen Parents/Expecting Teens and their children, teaching mothers how to care for their infants health,ageappropriate activities for teaching their young children, appropriate food/nutrition for the age of child.
- Served on Tomball Economic Development Corporation Planning Group

1995–1999 Tomball Independent School DistrictTomball,

Teacher

- Cherry Street School-Alternative School, provided instruction for students grade 4-8, High School GED Monitored Special Populations appropriate service delivery and for district compliance with state requirements.
- Addressed/improved student learning gaps, worked with students to improve state assessment test results, worked to improve student self management of discipline for student successful return to traditional classroom environment. Worked with students in Pass instructional opportunity, objective-to help students successfully make up academic failures.

1994–1995 Magnolia Independent School DistrictMagno Substitute-Special Populations Class

Prepared lessons and taught classes for grades 7-8 self-contained Special Education class of ED identified students; regular teacher was on leave. Worked with the Star Program, District funded boot-camp program, for students with inappropriate disruptive discipline problems.

1987–1991 Houston Independent School DistrictHouston

1991-Coordinator ESL Pullout Program-Gordon Elementary, screen new students for LEP Program, LPAS/LEP program contact, Co-

ordinated with Special Education Services to determine delivery of appropriate services.

1989-1991 Mid Management-Special Education-Satellite

District 13 Supervised/Monitored program quality and effectiveness for six elementary schools. Programs included ECH, Multihandicapped, Generic, Functional, Resource, Speech, and BIC/BAC Behavioral Classes. Responsibilities covered all program aspects including teacher assessment and certification acquisition.

Assisted TEA with Audit process for HISD Special Services Programs in schools chosen for Audit.

Member MISSE Task Force- assisted in the development of a guide for reliable reporting of state required student data information. Reports generate funding received for services provided. Guide would be used district-wide on behalf of 220,000 students and staff.

Worked with parents to set up program for parental involvement, to facilitate parent's ability to air concerns with district officials and public.

Received training in Distar and Neuhaus Phonics and Reading Programs.

1988-1989 Bilingual Resource Teacher Cunningham

Elementary Grades K-5, ARD Chairperson, Generic Self-Contained Worked with students of other languages determined to be eligible for Special Services.

1987-1988 Teacher Autistic Lab Program Welch Middle

School-Grades 7-8 Worked in a lab program; this program was successful and progressive in efforts made to advance skills/abilities for Autistic identified youth. Officials from other districts across the United States came to observe and learn how the program worked in order to implement the program in their districts.

Offered unique opportunities for students to learn independent functional living in the community.

Practiced domestic responsibilities with students to help them develop the ability to take care of their needs and learn skills that may lead to employment for them.

1980-1988 See Self-Employment below

1978-1980 Bilingual/SLIC-ESL Set up SLIC centers, screened, tested, and provided instruction for students.

1975-1978 Almeda Elementary Resource Teacher Taught in a LLD/KPLC Bilingual 30 Hour Institute Center Grades K-6, Trained VIPS to administer Kindergarten Screening Instrument, in Spanish. Trained teachers in behavior modifications, management systems, writing IEPs, organizational skills and timed tests. Taught teachers how to maintain State Folders for state and federal law compliance. Trained and certified in Monterey Reading Program.

1974-1975 Blackshear Elementary Kindergarten TeacherTaught class of 39 intercity full day kindergarten students in the U of H area of Houston, TX. (Third Ward)

1974 San Antonio Independent School District, San Antonio, Texas

Migrant/Bilingual Teacher Grades K-6, Barrios of San Antonio, Developed sequential materials for our Bilingual Program. Involved barrio parents weekly in their children's educational instruction

Education

University of Houston

Houston, TX

2001 ESL Certification

Lamar University

Beaumont, TX

- 1994 Graduate Work Educational toward Diagnostician Certification
- 1987 Masters Special Education w/Supervisory Certification
- 1975 Certification LLD/KPLC
- Graduate work Educational Diagnostician

Houston Independent School District

1978 Bilingual 30 Hour Institute Region IV

1979 Bilingual 30 Hour Institute Region IV

1975 to 1978 SERS Weekly Inservice/Training Sessions (Special Services)

New Mexico State University

Las Cruces, NM

1974 Bachelors of Science Elementary Education/Early Childhood

1980-1988 Self-employed Tutor-Home School Students with Learning Disabilities and students with learning gaps. Served as school volunteer, worked on Masters Degree during this time. Worked with parent group to set up a Pre-K program in the elementary school my children attended.

Self-employed with Designs By Tanis, remodeled and redecorated commerical and residential facilities, including floor, window and wall coverings. Drew up remodeling plans, worked as purchasing agent, coordinated with installation mechanics for project starts to completion